

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/660,186	09/12/2000	Dong Yeung Kwak	8733.298.00	6720
30827	7590 08/09/2002			
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP 1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			EXAMINER	
			LOKE, STEVEN HO YIN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2811	
		DATE MAILED: 08/09/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/660,186	KWAK, DONG YEUNG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Steven Loke	2811				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rr - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 bd will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ute, cause the application to become ABANE	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 5 from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	6 May 2002 .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	el Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D.	11, 433 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) 2-7 and 9-20 is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-7 and 9-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	l/or election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to						
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on 16.	<u>May 2002</u> is: a)☐ approved b)[☑ disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the praper of the praper of the international language. * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the international language. 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) 🔲 Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 8				

Art Unit: 2811

The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of

umber: 09/660,186

1. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on 5/16/02 have been disapproved because they introduce new matter into the drawings. 37 CFR 1.121(a)(6) states that no amendment may introduce new matter into the disclosure of an application. The original disclosure does not support the showing of Δ' in fig. 2A. The proposed drawing corrections of figs. 2B and 3 are approved.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors

Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 2 and 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Ono et al.

In regards to claim 2, Ono et al. shows all the elements of the claimed invention in figs. 1-3. It is a TFT LCD (thin film transistor liquid crystal display) comprising: a first substrate [SUB1] and a second substrate [SUB2]; a scanning

Art Unit: 2811

umber: 09/660,186

line [GL] on the first substrate; a signal line [DL] formed to cross the scanning line, wherein the signal line does not include an extension pattern; a channel layer [AS] formed along the signal line [DL] and extended to a portion of the scanning line [GL]; source and drain electrodes [SD1, DL] formed separated on the channel layer [AS] over the scanning line [GL]; a pixel electrode [ITO1] connected to the source electrode [SD1]; and a liquid crystal layer [LC] formed between the first substrate [SUB1] and the second substrate [SUB2]; wherein the drain electrode [DL] is parallel to the signal line [DL].

It is inherent that a pixel electrode connected to the drain electrode because the source and drain electrodes in the liquid crystal display device alternate with each other when the polarities are reversed during operation (col. 4, lines 56-64). Therefore, [SD1] becomes the drain electrode and [DL] becomes the source electrode when the polarities are reversed during operation.

In regards to claim 4, Ono et al. further discloses a gate insulating layer [GI] between the scanning line [GL] and the channel layer [AS].

In regards to claim 5, Ono et al. further discloses an ohmic contact layer [d0] between the source and drain electrodes [DL, SD1] and the channel layer [AS] when the polarities are reversed during operation.

In regards to claim 6, Ono et al. further discloses the source electrode [DL] and the signal line [DL] are formed as a unit when the polarities are reversed during operation.

Art Unit: 2811

umber: 09/660,186

In regards to claim 7, Ono et al. further discloses the drain electrode [SD1] is overlapped with the scanning line [GL] when the polarities are reversed during operation.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3 and 9-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ono et al.

In regards to claims 3, 11, 17, Ono et al. further discloses the channel layer [AS] has a width smaller than a width of the scanning line [GL].

It would have been obvious for the channel layer has a width smaller than a width of the signal line because it depends to the desired resistance of the channel layer.

In regards to claim 9, Ono et al. discloses a TFT in figs. 1-3. It is a TFT LCD comprising: a first substrate [SUB1] and a second substrate [SUB2]; a plurality of scanning lines [GL] on the first substrate; a gate insulating layer [GI] on a surface inclusive of the scanning lines [GL]; a channel layer [AS] on the gate insulating layer to cross the scanning lines [GL] having a portion extended to a top of each of the scanning lines [GL]; source and drain electrodes [SD1, DL] formed separated on the channel layer [AS] over the scanning lines [GL]; the signal line [DL] does not include an extension pattern; a protection film [PSV1]

Art Unit: 2811

umber: 09/660,186

formed on a surface inclusive of the signal line [DL]; a pixel electrode [ITO1] connected to the source electrode [SD1] on the protection film; a liquid crystal layer [LC] formed between the first substrate [SUB1] and the second substrate [SUB2]; wherein the drain electrode [DL] is parallel to the signal line [DL].

It is inherent that a pixel electrode connected to the drain electrode because the source and drain electrodes in the liquid crystal display device alternate with each other when the polarities are reversed during operation (col. 4, lines 56-64). Therefore, [SD1] becomes the drain electrode and [DL] becomes the source electrode when the polarities are reversed during operation. The signal line [DL] formed as a unit with the source electrode [DL] along the channel layer [AS], which is formed to cross each of the scanning lines [GL] when the polarities are reversed during operation.

It would have been obvious for the gate insulating layer on an entire surface inclusive of the scanning lines because it protects the TFTs.

It would have been obvious for the protection film formed on an entire surface inclusive of the signal line because it protects the TFTs.

In regards to claim 10, Ono et al. further discloses the drain electrode [SD1] crosses the scanning line [GL] when the polarities are reversed during operation.

In regards to claim 12, Ono et al. further discloses an ohmic contact layer [d0] between the source and drain electrodes [DL, SD1] and the channel layer when the polarities are reversed during operation.

In regards to claim 13, Ono et al. further discloses the scanning line [GL] has a portion enlarged in the vicinity of the signal line [DL].

Application/Contractumber: 09/660,186

Art Unit: 2811

In regards to claim 14, Ono et al. further discloses the channel layer [AS] is formed along the signal line [DL] over the scanning line [GL]. It would have been obvious for the channel layer has a width enlarged as much as a width of the scanning line is enlarged because it depends to the desired resistance of the channel layer.

In regards to claim 15, Ono et al. shows a TFT in figs. 1-3. It is a TFT LCD having a first substrate [SUB1], a second substrate [SUB2], and liquid crystal [LC] sealed between the first and second substrates, comprising: a scanning line [GL] on the first substrate; a gate insulating layer [GI] on the scanning line [GL]; a channel layer [AS] on the gate insulating layer; a signal line [DL] formed to cross the scanning line [GL] to cover a portion of the channel layer [AS], wherein the signal line [DL] does not include an extension pattern.

It is inherent that a pixel electrode connected to the drain electrode because the source and drain electrodes in the liquid crystal display device alternate with each other when the polarities are reversed during operation (col. 4, lines 56-64). Therefore, [SD1] becomes the drain electrode and [DL] becomes the source electrode when the polarities are reversed during operation. The drain electrode [SD1] formed on the channel layer [AS] spaced a distance away from the signal line [DL] in parallel to the signal line [DL]. The protection film [PSV1] formed on a surface of the first substrate inclusive of the drain electrode [SD1]; a pixel electrode [ITO1] formed on the protection film connected to the drain electrode [SD1]; and the drain electrode [SD1] is parallel to the signal line [DL].

Art Unit: 2811

Application/Contract/lumber: 09/660,186

It would have been obvious for the protection film formed on an entire surface of the first substrate inclusive of the drain electrode because it protects the TFT.

In regards to claim 16, Ono et al. further discloses the channel layer [AS] is formed along the signal line [DL].

In regards to claim 18, Ono et al. further discloses the signal line [DL] serves as a source electrode disposed opposite to the drain electrode when the polarities are reversed during operation.

In regards to claim 19, Ono et al. further discloses a gate insulating layer [GI] between the scanning line [GL] and the channel layer [AS].

In regards to claim 20, Ono et al. further discloses an ohmic contact layer [d0] between the source and drain electrodes [DL, SD1] and the channel layer when the polarities are reversed during operation.

- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-7 and 9-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection 7. presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory

Art Unit: 2811

lumber: 09/660,186

action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Loke whose telephone number is (703) 308-4920. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:50 am to 5:20 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (703) 308-2772. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

sl August 6, 2002 Steven Loke Primary Examinar